Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,545	DEBUSK, THOMAS A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jacqueline E. Hartt</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 3/11	/05	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claim 15, and nonelected claims 20-33 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of page 5 line 21 through page 7 line 5 of the instant specification.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Peter A. Huskori	· ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)